

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uxpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/712,684	11/14/2003	Tilla S. Worgall	66854-A/JPW/AJM/JCS	9072
7590 12/06/2007 John P. White Cooper & Dunham LLp			EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E	
1185 Avenue o			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		•				
Office Action Summary	10/712,684	WORGALL ET AL.				
Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL INC DATE of this communication con	Kevin E. Weddingto					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 16(a). In no event, however, rill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to b	IMUNICATION. r, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	eptember 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-10 and 12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 6-10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirem	ent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		terview Summary (PTO-413) aper No(s)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		otice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 O	ther:				

Application/Control Number:

10/712,684 Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1, 6-10 and 12 are presented for examination.

Applicants' amendment and response filed September 10, 2007 have been received and entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6, 8-10 and 12 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Riley et al., Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology 7 (1999) 109-118, of record, for reason of record as set forth in the previous Office action dated June 5, 2007 at pages 4-5 as applied to claims 1, 6, 8-10 and 12.

Applicants' remarks regarding the prior art, Riley et al., does not teach the instant agent that specifically inhibits *de novo* synthesis of ceramide in human cells but using animal cells such as mice are not persuasive since the animal cells or animal models are routinely used to perform experiments and are equivalent to human cells experiments. Inherently, the experimental data results from the animal models are sufficient enough for human cells results.

The rejection made under 35 USC 102(b) is adhered to.

Claims 1, 6, 81-0 and 12 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number:

10/712,684 Art Unit: 1614

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 6-10 and 12 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riley et al., Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology 7 (1999) 109-118, of record, for reason of record as set forth in the previous Office action dated June 5, 2007 at pages 5-6 as applied to claims 1, 6-10 and 12.

Applicants' remarks regarding the prior art, Riley et al., does not teach instant active agent is used in human cells to decrease the amount of mature sterol regulatory element binding proteins and cholesterol synthesis are not persuasive since the applicants have not demonstrated on the record a side-by-side comparison of the results from the prior art versus the present invention. The results from the present

Application/Control Number:

10/712,684 Art Unit: 1614

invention produce unexpected results over the animal models producing the same desired mechanism.

The rejection made under 35 USC 103 is adhere to.

Claims 1, 6-10 and 12 are not allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

10/712,684

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

K. Weddington December 4, 2007